













Tirana, 11-12 January 2010 Evaluation Summary Findings

The Seminar aimed at providing general information on the importance of financial sector RIA and how to execute it, based on the EU experience as practiced in the UK.

A recurring issue highlighted through the 2-day session is the consultation process that regulators ought to engage with all affected parties in order to assess the (dis-)advantages of proposed regulatory options so that they can be in a better capacity to draft regulations that achieve their intended effects.

The Seminar's main deliverable was to make participants familiar with the RIA approach (e.g. policy context, procedural and methodological aspects, international case studies illustration and live simulation run by participants).

In order to have a better understanding of the extent at which the workshop addressed the needs of the participants, the latter were asked to complete an evaluation form. The aggregated findings of the collected responses are shown below:

I. Statistics of the survey

Total no. of participants for the two days: 16 No. of respondents: 13 Participation ratio: 81 %

II. Summary findings of the survey

No.	Question	General	Answers
		Assessment	
1.	Please rate the workshop	Very good-	6- Very Good
		Excellent	6- Excellent
			1 - Unanswered
2.	Did the workshop disappoint, meet,	Meet	10- Meet
	or exceeded your expectations		3 - Exceed

- **Comments:** | Being from on the role of regulator, the workshop was enough challenging as to meet my expectations;
 - I have previously participated in RIA seminars and I wanted to have a deeper understanding to improve my knowledge. This workshop really met my expectation in this respect;
 - The case studies were very helpful;
 - The workshop was very appropriate in meeting the needs of knowledge about RIA:
 - It gave me extra information and provided basic introduction of IA. Helped me get an economic oriented point of view of IA related topics.
- What did you like best about the workshop and how would you compare it to other 3. workshops you have attended

Comments:

- Very good lecturing, deep illustrations, excellent articulation;
- Very good lecturer;
- Abundant information completed with excellent presentation skills:
- Very good explanations from the lecturer;
- In fact I really liked the workshop. The presentation of topics was really good, and concepts were explained very well, not to mention that the lecturer was very well prepared and seems to have a lot of experience in impact assessment;
- The case studies:
- Excellent case studies;
- The case studies were interesting, very good information and very good way of analyzing the potential problems;
- Market failure analysis;
- Knowledge brought, case studies;
- The information provided was useful and helpful for us, as it upgrades the knowledge on RIA process and provides this advanced experiences from EU countries;
- Introduced me to some economic aspects I had no previous information. This is the first workshop I attended on these topics.

4.	4. Did you feel enough time was allowed for questions and free class discussions		Enough	8 – Enough 5 – Quite Enough

Comments:

- Absolutely. We almost killed the lecturer with our questions, which he addressed just fine;
- Having the advantage of a relatively small group, the lecturer gave enough time to questions or free class discussions;
- Yes, I feel we had enough time for questions and free class discussions;
- There was enough time for questions and discussions, even though the answers were provided during the presentations;
- There was always free room for discussions which were lead in a very effective way;
- The space given to working in groups (preparatory debate) was necessary to point out the main aspects of the short selling phenomena.

main aspects of the short selling phenomena.						
5. What makes this Seminar Useful for you and your job:						
Item			No. of points	Percentage of max		
Newly Acquired Knowledge			49	82% (1-unanswered)		
Refin	nement o	f Existing Knowledge	47	85% (2 unanswered)		
Exch	ange of	experiences with other	34	68% (3 unanswered)		
partio	cipants					
Pract	ical case	studies	45	90% (3 unanswered)		
6.	Would	you find it useful if this	Very Useful	5- Very Useful		
	worksł	nop or a continuation of it,		4 – Useful		
	would	be delivered directly in your		2- Quite Useful		
	institut	ion		2 – Not Very Useful		
Com	ments:	- I am not completely sure abo	out this, since I was not representing the regulator,			
		I would believe that this workshop benefits better regulatory institutions rather				
		than banking sector;				
		- Not all of the staff involved in regulation drafting has the adequate knowledge;				
		- Yes, it would help getting everyone on the same page;				
		- These kind of workshops are always very useful, and especially for regulators,				
		such as the Bank of Albania;				
		- An evidence- based culture needs to be built;				
		- Introduction to the same topics we attended these 2 days, by being delivered to				
		my working institution would help other co-workers be informed about IA				
		related matters.	-			
7.	Do you	recommend more workshops	Yes	10- Yes		
	or train	ning on Regulatory Impact		3- unanswered		
		ment topics?				
Com	ments:		stomized to the Albanian reality would definitely			
		be nice;				
		- Yes, for regulatory bodies;				
		- Yes, it would improve the knowledge of those involved in regulatory issues;				
		- Yes, definitely. RIA topics would be very useful in the future;				
		- Yes, but hard to identify one topic in particular;				
		- As an effective method for performing the effective regulatory framework, I				

	would suggest more workshops on RIA process.				
8.	8. How useful did you find the following parts of the workshop				
Item			No. of points	Percentage of max	
		re Analysis Theory and	49	94%	
	ication				
		efit Analysis	50	96%	
	•	Case Study on Short Selling	49	94%	
		Benefits	41	93%	
_		sment RIA Case Study –	50	96%	
	pean Exp				
-		sment RIA Case Study-	49	94%	
	tal Adeq	·	10		
		e Session	49	94%	
		Seedback and Interventions	49	94%	
9.	Lectur	er Assessment	Very good	2 Very Good; 1-Good; 2 -	
				n/a	
Item			No. of points	Percentage of max	
		killed in the subject?	59	98%	
		kshop design and delivery?	57	95%	
	•	tend or recommend others	59	98%	
	d differe ments:	nt workshops by this lecturer? - The lecturer was highly focu			
presentations; - Highly eloquent, efficiently knowledge building; - All the workshop was lead v			_	n economic-related	
10a.	Which	topics if any, critical for the pr			
		g in this workshop?		,	
Com	ments:	- Case studies related to Alba	nian market failures (wi	th the exception of the	
		pyramid schemes of course);			
		- Bonds market.			
10b	Which	hich workshop topics could be dropped in the future?			
Com	ments:	- Not able to tell;			
		- None, or maybe the ones that do not apply to the Albanian market;			
		- More practical study cases.			
		you recommend this	Yes	12- Yes	
		nop to your colleagues		1- Yes, with minor adjustments	
Comments: - Increase the		- Increase the focus from the	e focus from the banking sector's side;		
Com		- Very helpful in the understa	•		
12.	Which	Departments of your	Legal and	9- Legal Department	
,		tion should be represented in	Research/Economic	9- Research/Economic	
		orkshops	Department	Department	
TUIT II				• •	

				8- Regulation Department	
				7- Supervision	
				Department	
				4- Financial Department	
				1- Other (Monetary	
				Policy Department, BoA)	
13.	Which authorit	ies/ institutions should	Prime Minister's	8- Prime Minister's	
	be represented	in future RIA	Office	Office	
	workshops?			6 – Economic Think	
	_			Tanks	
				5- Private Sector Firms	
				5- Others (Financial	
				Authorities; Ministry of Finance; Parliament; Competition Authority,	
				Financial Supervisory	
				Authority)	
14.	•	ou expect to use the	Not now, but I	7- Not now, but I expect	
	knowledge acq	uired in your work?	expect to use it in	it in the future	
			the future	5- Partially now, if I	
				speak with my superior	
				and colleagues	
15.	Will, in your o		Yes, a lot	9- Yes, a lot	
		n in your country		4- Perhaps	
		ality of regulatory			
1.0	activities?	A		1	
16. <i>Item</i>	Organizations A	Arrangements	No of mainta	Domo out a co of su su	
	nization of the w	oulzah on	No. of points	Percentage of max	
	nization of the w	orksnop	59	92%	
	b/Coffee Prools		54	91%	
Lunch/Coffee Breaks Length of 'school day'				83%	
				86%	
Comments:		- The length of the school day and the classroom were optimal. Some			
		improvements could be made for adding lunch to the lunch break and			
		logistics with reference to the delayed arrival of the lecturer in the beginning of the first day.			
		- Organization of the workshop was indeed helpful; the interaction gave			
		me a chance to learn from the others' point of view for topics related to			
Ross	mmendations:	the agenda. - As many workshops of this nature as possible;			
Neco	mmenuations:	- More Albanian related case studies.			
		- MOIC AIDAIIIAII ICIAIC	ed case studies.		